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## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURAL ENGINEERING

## 19AGE307-ERGONOMICS OF FARM MACHINERY AND IMPLEMENTS

## UNIT II - ANTHROPOMETRY

TOPIC IV : ANALYSIS OF ANTHROPOMETRIC DATA

## MEASUREMENT OF ANTHROPOMETRIC DATA

There are very few studies available on anthropometric data on agricultural workers, again which are mainly case studies and involving only male workers.

Therefore, a comprehensive data base involving 79 body dimensions and 16 strength parameters of at least 1000 agricultural workers (male : female :: $70 \%: 30 \%$ ) as formulated by the ICAR is a major step towards future machinery design and development and also for modification in design of the existing machinery.

## ANALYSIS OF ANTHROPOMETRIC DATA

- Human being must not only fit spatially in a man task system, but must also be able to move in the work space.
- With the aid of anthropometric data we can provide an optimum work space layout, including good posture, contributing to considerable decrease in work load and an improvement in the performance.
- Normally, during collection of human engineering data skip the first and last five percentile.
- Thus while designing a seat; it should be designed to accommodate a reasonable range of individuals, usually from $5^{\text {th }}$ to $95^{\text {th }}$ percentiles.
- Lower percentile values of seat height and seat depth should be taken.


## ANALYSIS OF ANTHROPOMETRIC DATA

For Indian agricultural workers including male and female workers, the stature would vary from 1350 mm to 1830 mm , thus the range would be $1830-1350=480 \mathrm{~mm}$. according to the formula given by Raghavrao (1983), the standard deviation can be estimated from range as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S=\sqrt{\frac{(\text { Range }) 2}{36}} \\
& S=\sqrt{\frac{(480) 2}{36}}=80 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{aligned}
$$

## ANALYSIS OF ANTHROPOMETRIC DATA

- It is defined as the ratio of weight of a person to his /her height squared (Keys, 1972)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { BIII: Weady } \\
& \text { (Hagidul) }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Beside these two, there are two other characteristics of the distribution. These are Asymmetry also known as skewness ( $\beta$ ) and Kurtosis or Peakedness ( $\beta_{2}$ ).
- The skewness $\left(\beta_{1}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{1}=m_{3}=\text { Third momentabout the mean } \\
& \left.(\sqrt{m})^{2}\right)^{3} \\
& (S . D)^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Kutosis $\left(\beta_{2}\right): \quad\left(\beta_{2}\right)=\underline{m}_{4} \cdot 3={\frac{m_{4}}{m_{2}^{2}}}^{-3}(S . D)^{2}$

## ANALYSIS OF ANTHROPOMETRIC DATA

For normal distribution, the value of $\beta_{1}$ as well as ${ }_{\beta 2}$ would be 0 to give the idea of distribution of mass data for different dimensions; the values of $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ have been calculated and given in here.

| Sr- No. | Dimension | Definition | Usefulness |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Weight | Body weight as measured on a calibrated weighing scale. | General body description. |
| 2. | Stature | The vertical distance from the standing surface to the vertex of the head when the subject stands erect and looks straight forward. | General body <br> description, work <br> place designs.  |
| 3. | Vertical reach | The vertical distance from the standing surface to the height of middle finger when amn hand and fingers are extended vertically. | Workplace layout, design of controls. |
| 4. | Vertical grip reach | The vertical distance from the standing surface to the height of the pointer held horizontal to the subject's fist when the amm is maximally extended upward. The subject stands erect andlooks straight forward. | Workplace layout design of controls. |
| 5. | Eye height | The vertical distance from the standing surface to the extemal canthus of the eye when the subject stands erect and looks straight forward. | Design of controls and displays. |
| 6. | Acromial height | The vertical distances from the standing surface to the acromion. The subject stands erect and looks straight forward. | General body description, work place layout, body linkages for deciding feeding cluite height, for lifting studies for use in force applicationstudies |
| 7. | Elbow height | The vertical distance from the standing surface to the top of the radiale when the subject stands erect and looks straight. | General body <br> description work- <br> place layout, body  <br> linkages.  |
| 8. | Olecranon height | The vertical distance from the standing surface to the height of the undersurface of the elbow measured with the am flexed $90^{\circ}$ and the upeer arm vertical. The subject stands erect andlooks straight forward. | Workplace layout body linkages, platform height for work to be done in standing posture like in workshop, kitchen etc. |
| 9. | Illiocrystale height | The vertical distance from the standing surface to the top of the ilium in the mid axillary plane. The subject stands erect and looks straight forward. This is also known | Body linkages, <br> safety harmess <br> design, safety belt <br> design material |

## ANALYSIS OF ANTHROPOMETRIC DATA

|  |  | as waist height. | handling height |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10. | Tliospinale height | The vertical distance from the standing surface to the height of the illiospinale. The subject stands erect and looks straight forward. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Body linkages safety } \\ & \text { harness design, } \\ & \text { safety belt design. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11. | Trochanteric height | The vertical distance from the standing surface to the height of the trochanterion. The subject stands erect and looks straight forward. | Body linkages, biomechanics study setting limit for leg lifting in sagital |
| 12. | Metacarpal III height | The vertical distances from the standing surface to the height of the krmickle where the middle finger joins the palm. Subject stands erect andlooks straight forward. | Control panel design, handle height of marnall as well as animal drawn equipmernt handle height of manually operated motary equipment |
| 13. | Knee height | The vertical distance from standing surface to the midpoint of knee cap. The subject stands erect andlooks straight forward. | Body linkages, work place design. |
| 14. | Span | The distance between the tips of right and left middle fingers when the subject's amms are maximally extended laterally. | Work place design, design of controls. |
| 15. | Span akimbo | The distance between the elbow point measured with the ams flexed and held horizontally palms down, fingers straight and together and pahn and thumbs touching the chest at the nipple level. | Work place design, design of cortrols for material handling packages. |
| 16. | Chest circumference | The circumferences of the torso measured at the nipple level. The subject stands erect and looks straight forward. | General bocty description health index, comparison of differertions, populations, personal protective clothing design. |
| 17. | Waist circumference | The circumference of the torso at the waist level. The subject stands erect and looks straight forward. | Personal protective clothing design, seat design hamess design forbackpack |
| 18. | Thigh circumference | The circumference of the upper leg measured as high in the crotch as possible. | General body <br> description personnal <br> protective <br> clothing <br> design.  |
| 19. | Calf circumference | The maximum circumference of the gastrocnemius muscle in the lower leg. The subject stands erect and looks straight forward. | General body <br> description personal <br> clothing <br> design,  <br> gumboot/safety shoe  <br> design.  |

## Mean anthropometric and strength data of Male and Female Indian

 Agricultural Workers|  |  | Male |  |  | Female |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 95th | Mean | 5th | 95th | Mean | 5th |
| Weight (Kg) | 68.9 | 54.7 | 40.4 | 59.1 | 46.3 | 33.55 |
| Stature | 1774 | 1633 | 1521 | 1615 | 1515 | 1414 |
| Acromial height | 1468 | 1362 | 1248 | 1353 | 1261 | 1196 |
| Arm reach from the <br> wall | 921 | 838 | 756 | 848 | 773 | 681 |
| Bi-acromial breadth | 402 | 330 | 364 | 340 | 292 | 243 |
| Bideltoid breadth | 471 | 416 | 361 | 423 | 371 | 318 |
| Calf circumference | 367 | 312 | 310 | 353 | 292 | 230 |
| Chest circumference | 944 | 845 | 746 | 934 | 813 | 693 |
| Chest depth | 243 | 208 | 173 | 259 | 207 | 154 |
| Coronoid fossa to <br> hand length | 439 | 392 | 345 | 400 | 357 | 314 |
| Elbow- elbow <br> breadth sitting | 452 | 375 | 297 | 413 | 350 | 286 |
| Elbow height | 1115 | 1027 | 938 | 1037 | 960 | 883 |

Mean anthropometric and strength data of Male and Female Indian Agricultural Workers

| Elbow rest height | 266 | 214 | 162 | 259 | 208 | 158 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eye height | 1636 | 1522 | 1409 | 1504 | 1403 | 1302 |
| Foot breadth (ball of foot) | 110 | 94 | 78 | 101 | 89 | 76 |
| Foot length | 269 | 245 | 221 | 243 | 227 | 212 |
| Forearm hand length | 503 | 453 | 408 | 462 | 417 | 378 |
| Hand length | 197 | 178 | 160 | 182 | 167 | 151 |
| Head breadth | 171 | 148 | 125 | 202 | 142 | 156 |
| head length | 205 | 185 | 166 | 202 | 179 | 156 |
| Hip breadth sitting | 364 | 311 | 258 | 355 | 302 | 249 |
| Instep length | 208 | 184 | 142 | 191 | 167 | 149 |
| Knee height | 530 | 472 | 415 | 488 | 438 | 388 |
| Medial malleous height | 96 | 80 | 63 | 92 | 74 | 56 |
| Menton to top of the head | 246 | 213 | 179 | 232 | 197 | 162 |
| Metacarpal-III height | 763 | 690 | 616 | 718 | 649 | 581 |
| Olecranon height | 1085 | 999 | 913 | 1011 | 936 | 861 |
| Popliteal height sitting | 468 | 417 | 367 | 441 | 391 | 342 |
| Sitting Acromial height | 645 | 568 | 492 | 597 | 529 | 461 |
| Sitting eye height | 812 | 726 | 640 | 743 | 771 | 599 |
| Sitting height | 916 | 830 | 744 | 847 | 775 | 702 |
| Span | 1832 | 1697 | 1562 | 1680 | 1551 | 1422 |
| Span Akimbo | 964 | 872 | 780 | 872 | 790 | 707 |
| Trochanteric height | 925 | 814 | 703 | 842 | 777 | 695 |
| Vertical reach | 2237 | 2080 | 1923 | 2063 | 1921 | 1778 |
| Waist back length | 510 | 443 | 375 | 447 | 385 | 367 |
| Waist circumference | 901 | 765 | 629 | 858 | 720 | 582 |
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