Schedule

A series of operation from one transaction to another transaction is known as schedule. It is used to preserve the order of the operation in each of the individual transaction.



1. Serial Schedule

The serial schedule is a type of schedule where one transaction is executed completely before starting another transaction. In the serial schedule, when the first transaction completes its cycle, then the next transaction is executed.

For example: Suppose there are two transactions T1 and T2 which have some operations. If it has no interleaving of operations, then there are the following two possible outcomes:

1. Execute all the operations of T1 which was followed by all the operations of T2.
2. Execute all the operations of T1 which was followed by all the operations of T2.

- In the given (a) figure, Schedule A shows the serial schedule where T1 followed by T2.
- In the given (b) figure, Schedule B shows the serial schedule where T2 followed by T1.

2. Non-serial Schedule

- If interleaving of operations is allowed, then there will be non-serial schedule.
- It contains many possible orders in which the system can execute the individual operations of the transactions.

- In the given figure (c) and (d), Schedule C and Schedule D are the non-serial schedules. It has interleaving of operations.

3. Serializable schedule

- The serializability of schedules is used to find non-serial schedules that allow the transaction to execute concurrently without interfering with one another.
- It identifies which schedules are correct when executions of the transaction have interleaving of their operations.
- A non-serial schedule will be serializable if its result is equal to the result of its transactions executed serially.

(a)



T1	T2
read(A);	
A := A – N;	
write(A);	
read(B);	
B := B + N;	
write(B);	
	read(A);
	A := A + M;
	write(A);

Schedule A

(b)



T1	T2
	read(A);
	A := A + M;
	write(A);
read(A);	
A:= A - N;	
write(A);	
read(B);	
B:= B +N;	
write(B);	

Schedule B

(c)



T1	T2
read(A);	
A := A – N;	
	read(A);
	A := A + M;
write(A);	
read(B);	
	write(A);
B := B + N;	
write(B);	

Schedule C

(d)



T1	T2

read(A);
A := A – N;
write(A);	

	read(A);
	A := A + M;
	write(A);

read(B);
B := B + N;
write(B);	

Schedule D

a. **Cascading Schedule:**

Also called Avoids cascading aborts/rollbacks (ACA). When there is a failure in one transaction and this leads to the rolling back or aborting other dependent transactions, then such scheduling is referred to as Cascading rollback or cascading abort. Example:



T1	T2	T3

R(x)
W(x)		

	R(x)
	W(x)	

		R(x)
		W(x)

Abort	Abort	Abort

Figure - Cascading Abort

b. CascadelessSchedule:

Schedules in which transactions read values only after all transactions whose changes they are going to read commit are called cascadeless schedules. Avoids that a single transaction abort leads to a series of transaction rollbacks. A strategy to prevent cascading aborts is to disallow a transaction from reading uncommitted changes from another transaction in the same schedule.

In other words, if some transaction T_j wants to read value updated or written by some other transaction T_i, then the commit of T_j must read it after the commit of T_i.

Example: Consider the following schedule involving two transactions T_1 and T_2.

T_1	T_2

T_1	**T_2**
R(A)	
W(A)	
	W(A)
commit	
	R(A)
	commit

This schedule is cascadeless. Since the updated value of **A** is read by T_2 only after the updating transaction i.e. T_1 commits.

Example: Consider the following schedule involving two transactions T_1 and T_2.

T_1	**T_2**
R(A)	
W(A)	
	R(A)
	W(A)
abort	
	abort

It is a recoverable schedule but it does not avoid cascading aborts. It can be seen that if T_1 aborts, T_2 will have to be aborted too in order to maintain the correctness of the schedule as T_2 has already read the uncommitted value written by T_1.

c. **StrictSchedule:**

A schedule is strict if for any two transactions T_i, T_j, if a write operation of T_i precedes a conflicting operation of T_j (either read or write), then the commit or abort event of T_i also precedes that conflicting operation of T_j. In other words, T_j can read or write updated or written value of T_i only after T_i commits/aborts.

Example: Consider the following schedule involving two transactions T_1 and T_2.

T_1	T_2
R(A)	
	R(A)
W(A)	
commit	
	W(A)
	R(A)
	commit